

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/569,763 | 07/24/2006 | Walter Fix | 411000-146 | 6074 | |
| 27162 7590 07/10/2008 CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN, CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN 5 BECKER FARM ROAD ROSELAND, NJ 07068 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | GARCIA, JOANNIE A | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | • | 2823 | | |
| · · | | • | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/10/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--|---------------------------|--|------------|---------------------|
| 10569763 | 7/24/2006 | FIX ET AL. | 411000-146 | |
| | | | EXAMINER | |
| CARELLA, BYRNE, B STEWART & OLSTEIN | AIN, GILFILLAN, CECC N | MATTHEW SMITH | | |
| 5 BECKER FARM ROAD ROSELAND, NJ 07068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER |
| | • | | 2823 | 20080708 |

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Enclosed herein is a Decision on applicant's Petition of June 23, 2008. The application is being forwarded to 1) the TSS to correctly enter the Petition into Palm(already scanned in in IFW) and 2) to the examiner for further action commensurate with the Decision to reopen prosecution.

Matthew S. Smith

SPE

Art Unit: 2823

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 07082008

DECISION ON PETITION

UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

William Squire
CARRELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN, CECCHI,
STEWART & OLSTEIN
5 Becker Farm Road
Roseland, NJ 07068

Appl No.: 10/569,763

Inv:

Fix et al.

July 24, 2006

Filed: For:

ORGANIC ELECTRONIC COMPONENT WITH

HIGH RESOLUTION STRUCTURING, AND METHOD

OF THE PRODUCTION THEREOF

This is a decision on the applicant's "Petition to Supervisory Review of the Denial of Entry of an Amendment via an Advisory Action" filed under 37 CFR 1.181 filed June 23, 2008. The applicant requests that the Advisory Action of June 11, 2008 be vacated and withdrawn and the proposed amendment to claims 1 and 5 indicated therein be entered. A Brief history of the prosecution of the case is in order. The application had been prosecuted with a final rejection having been mailed on April 14, 2008. The applicant submitted an Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 on May 14, 2008. The examiner mailed an Advisory Action indicating non-entry of the amendment and provided reasons for non-entry. This resulted in the applicant submitting this petition.

Firstly, after reviewing the Final Rejection and the applicant's arguments, the supervisor finds the applicant's comments persuasive. The claims as presently written

would require a laser generated depression formed in a substrate and/or lower layer of the primarily organic electronic component. The depression needs to be made in at least an insulating material to give meaning or essence to the "interconnecting" language of line 8 of claim 1. (Otherwise, the connections would be shorted.) As the reference relied upon by the examiner only makes depression in metal layer, the reference does not read on the claims as submitted. Consequently, the PROSECUTION WILL BE REOPENED based upon this rationale.

As to the entering of the amendment as filed on May 14, 2008, the amendment appears to have been a "proposed amendment" (see title of the paper) and furthermore, was not filed under the format as outlined in 37 CFR 1.121. (Claims submitted are not on a separate page.) Consequently, the amendment CANNOT BE ENTERED.

However, due to the reopening of prosecution, the applicant is requested to refile the amendment in appropriate form under 37 CFR 1.121.

The file is being returned to the examiner for further prosecution commensurate with the decisions and reading of the claims as outlined herein. The examiner will review the prior art in light of the claims that existed prior to the non-compliant amendment of May 14, 2008; but, should the applicant desire these changes to be entered, a compliant amendment will be needed.

Matthew S. Smith

Supervisory Primary Examiner AU 2823

Technology Center 2800